

ABUNDANCE AND SPECIES COMPOSITION OF THE FISHES IN BLUE NILE RIVER BELOW THE TISS ISSAT FALL, ETHIOPIA

Tadlo Awoke^{1,*}, Minwelet Mingist² and Abebe Getahun³

¹Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture, Wollo University, P.O. Box 1145, Desse, Ethiopia. E-mail: mebratuaw@gmail.com

²Department of Fisheries, Wetlands and Wildlife Management, College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia

³Department of Zoological Sciences, College of Natural Science, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia

*Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed

<https://doi.org/10.59411/y789cz15>

Contents

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Materials and Methods

Results and Discussion

Acknowledgement

References

How to Cite:

Tadlo Awoke, Minwelet Mingist and Abebe Getahun. (2023) ABUNDANCE AND SPECIES COMPOSITION OF THE FISHES IN BLUE NILE RIVER BELOW THE TISS ISSAT FALL, ETHIOPIA. *Aquaponics*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.59411/y789cz15>



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

ABSTRACT

*In the present study we evaluate the relative abundance and species composition of fishes in Blue Nile River below the fall during the wet season (September-October 2010) and dry season (March-April 2011). Fish samples were collected by using monofilaments gillnets (5-55 mm) and multifilament gillnets, having mesh sizes of six, eight, ten, twelve and fourteen centimeter stretched bar mesh, having a length of twenty five meter and depth of one and half meter, on three sampling sites of the Blue Nile River below Tisisat Fall. Eight hundred fifty seven fish specimens that belong to a single class, Actinopterygii (ray-finned fishes), four orders, and five families and 6 genera were collected. The most dominant species were *Labeobarbus intermedius*, *Labeo forskalii* and *Mormyrus kannume*. The site harbors large flock of *L. intermedius* population. Sefiana site had the higher diversity (average $H' = 3.02$). *Labeobarbus intermedius* was the most dominant (39.67% by number) followed by *Labeo forskalii* (27.77%) and *M. kannume* (11.67%). The other species, *L. nedgia*, *B. docmak*, *L. crassibarbis*, *C. gariepinus* and *O. niloticus* were found in 9.57%, 5.13%, 3.50%, 1.98% and 0.70%, respectively. All fish species except *C. gariepinus* and *O. niloticus* were found in all sites. All the fish species except *L. crassibarbis*, *B. docmak* and *C. gariepinus* showed significant differences in abundance between seasons with overall catch composition of 39.67%, 27.77%, 9.57%, and 11.67% for *L. intermedius*, *L. forskalii*, *L. nedgia* and *M. kannume*, respectively. *L. intermedius*, *L. forskalii*, *L. nedgia* and *M. kannume* were the most important species in both seasons and in most of the sampling sites. The studied habitats are in danger being eroded and therefore catchment rehabilitation should be considered and the massive seasonal fishing by the local communities should be reduced.*

Keywords: Abundance, Basin, Composition, Diversity, Relative, Species.

Aquaponics

Introduction

Ethiopia is the water-tower of East Africa and has a number of inland water bodies. The lakes and rivers cover a total area and length of about 7,400 km² and 7,700 km, respectively (Wood and Talling, 1988). In Ethiopia, there are nine major river basins. The Blue Nile basin is the largest basin in Ethiopia. Rivers of this basin drain the great central and north-west plateau. It accounts for almost 20% of Ethiopia's land area and 50% of its total average annual run-off (BCEOM, 1999; Getahun, 2005 a, b).

Some of the families of fish identified within the Nile basin and its tributary rivers are Mormyridae, Characidae, Cyprinidae, Bagridae, Schlibidae, Mockokidae, Clariidae and Cichlidae (MoWR, 1998). The family Cyprinidae is the only group of fish that is more diverse in the Blue Nile drainage system than in White Nile system.

Studies on species diversity and abundance are important to obtain information on the quality and quantity of the available habitats. However, knowledge on the fish diversity, species distribution in Ethiopian ichthyo fauna is scarce (Getahun, 2005). Relatively a large number of small, medium and even large rivers have not been well studied including the ones explored in this study, the Blue Nile River below the Tiss Issat fall. The absence of information about fish species composition and relative abundance in this river triggered the necessity to conduct this study. Therefore, the study was carried out to address the following research questions: 1) What is the species composition and relative abundance of fishes in the 'Blue Nile River below the fall?' 2) Does the fish species composition in these rivers differ from the upper Blue Nile River and Lake Tana?

Materials and Methods

Description of the study area

The source of Blue Nile River is Lake Tana and flows at the Eastern outskirts of Bahir Dar town, forming the famous Blue Nile Fall (Tiss Issat Fall) after 30 km travel from its source which drops down into a gorge with a depth of about 45 m (Dile, 2009). Blue Nile River basin lies in the west of Ethiopia between latitude 7°45' and 12°45' N, and longitude 34°05' and 39°45' E (MoWR, 2010). The present study was conducted in below the famous Blue Nile Fall of the Blue Nile River to the border between East and West Gojjam. It lies between West Gojjam (Yelimana Densa and Gonge Kolela districts) and South Gondar zones

Aquaponics

(Simada district), specifically the sites are Sefiana (10 km after the fall), Abenaze (30 km) and Wotetomider (60 km) (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. Map of the study area and sampling sites.

Field sampling

Seven sampling sites were selected by considering nature and velocity of the flowing river, accessibility, interference by human beings and other farm animals and substrate type of the sediments and suitability for setting gillnets, the coordinates of the sampling sites were determined using GPS (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Data was collected both in dry season (March-April 2011) and wet season (September-October 2011). Fish was sampled by an overnight setting of multifilament and monofilament gillnets. Multifilament gillnets had mesh sizes 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 cm stretched bar mesh and a length of 25 m and a depth of 1.5 m, whereas monofilament gillnets had mesh sizes of 5 mm-55 mm and a length of 25 m and a depth of 1.5 m. Fish were identified to the species level using the keys developed by Nagelkerke (1997). After taking the entire necessary information, individual specimen were preserved with 4% formalin and put in plastic jar and was transported to the laboratory of Bahir Dar Fisheries and other Aquatic Life Research Center for further identification and to serve as a reference specimen.

Table 1. Sampling sites, estimated distance from the fall and coordinates in the river.

Aquaponics

Fishing Site	Distance from Tisisat fall (km)	Altitude (m)	Habitat	Width (m)	Coordinate (GPS)
Sefiana	8	1548	Clear water and rocky, sandy	350	11°27.7'07"N; 37° 37.9' 60"E
Abenaze	30	1528	Turbid muddy	250	11°24.3'06"N; 37° 40' 51" E
Wotetomider	60	1493	Clear water and rock gravel	200	11° 31.5' 03" N; 37° 52.9' 48" E

Species diversity and relative abundance

Estimation of relative abundance of fish was made by the contribution of the catch in each sampling effort. An Index of Relative Importance (IRI) and Shannon Diversity Index (H') were used to evaluate relative abundance and diversity of fish, respectively. An IRI is a measure of relative abundance or commonness of the species based on number and weight of individuals in catches as well as their frequency of occurrence (Kolding, 1989). IRI gives a better replacement of the ecologically important species rather than the weight, number or frequency alone (Sanyanga, 1996).

$$\%IRI_i = \frac{(\%W_i + \%N_i) \times \%F_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\%W_j + \%N_j) \times \%F_j} \times 100$$

Where, %W_i and %N_i are percentages weight and number of each species of total catch, respectively. %F_i is a percentage frequency occurrence of each species in total number of settings. %W_j and N_j are percentage weight and number of total species in total catch. F_j is percentage frequency of occurrence of total species in total number of settings. The Shannon index of diversity (H'): H' is a measure of species weighted by the relative abundance (Begon *et al.*, 1990). H' is calculated as follows:

$$H' = \sum p_i \ln p_i$$

Aquaponics

Where, p_i - the proportion of individuals in the i^{th} species. Shannon index is used to indicate diversity of fishes at different sampling sites or rivers.

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics was used to analyze the mean value of the biomass weight during wet and dry seasons and also the mean, range and standard error of the species length frequency. The significant difference of species relative abundance during wet and dry season was analyzed using t-test. One way ANOVA was used to determine the significant difference of species diversity between sites. SPSS version 16 and Microsoft Excel sheet 2007 was used to analyze and manage the data.

Results and Discussion

Species composition

A total of eight species were identified, namely: *L. intermedius*, *L. forskalii*, *M. kannume*, *L. nedgia*, *L. crassibarbis*, *C. gariepinus* and *O. niloticus* (Table 2). They are represented by a single class Actinopterygii (ray-finned fishes), 4 orders (Cypriniformes, Osteoglossiformes, Siluriformes and Perciformes), 5 families (Cyprinidae, Cichlidae, Bagridae, Clariidae and Mormyridae) and 6 genera. In the studied river, the family Cyprinidae followed by Mormyridae was the dominant family. The freshwater fish fauna of in the studied river contained a mixture of Nilo-Sudanic (*M. kannume*, *B. docmak* and *L. forskalii*) and highland East African forms (*L. intermedius*, *L. nedgia*, *L. crassibarbis*, *C. gariepinus* and *O. niloticus*).

Whereas *L. intermedius*, *L. forskalii*, *M. kannume*, *L. crassibarbis*, *L. nedgia* and *B. docmak* were found in most of the sampling sites, *C. gariepinus* and *O. niloticus* were not found at the lower site (named Wotetomider) in the Blue Nile River (Table 2). The number of fish species was low at Wotetomider and Abenaze as compared to Sefiana sampling site (Table 2). Thus, *L. intermedius*, *L. nedgia* and *L. forskalii* were collected from all the sampling sites in both seasons (Table 2), whereas *M. kannume* and *B. docmak* were collected from all the sampling sites only in wet seasons. Blue Nile River below the Tiss Issat Fall was dominated by the family Cyprinidae and Bagridae mainly by the genus *Labeobarbus* and *Bagrus*.

Aquaponics

Table 2. Fish distribution among thee sampling sites during both wet and dry seasons (NB: present, +, absent, -).

Sites	Seasons	Species							
		<i>L. i</i>	<i>L. f</i>	<i>L. n</i>	<i>L. c</i>	<i>M. k</i>	<i>B. d</i>	<i>C. g</i>	<i>O. n</i>
Sefiana	Wet	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Dry	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Abenaze	Wet	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
	Dry	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Wetetomider	Wet	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	Dry	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-

L.i. - *L. intermedius*, *L.f.* - *L. forskalii*, *L.n.* - *L. nedgia*, *L.c.* - *L. crassibarbis*, *M.k.* - *M. kannume*, *B.d.* - *B. docmak*, *C.g* - *C. gariepinus*, *O.n.* - *O. niloticus*

Species diversity and abundance

Shannon diversity index (H') was used to evaluate species diversity in all sampling sites. Shannon diversity index explains both variety and the relative abundance of fish species (Naesje *et al.*, 2004).

The H' was highest at Sefiana with the values of ($H'=1.44$) followed by Abenaze ($H'=1.33$) and Wotetomider ($H'=1.23$) during the dry season (Table 3). The H' was highest at Wotetomider with the values of ($H'=1.64$) followed by Abenaze ($H'=1.60$) and Sefiana ($H'=1.58$) during the wet season sampling period (Table 3). *Bagrus docmak*, *L. crassibarbis*, *C. gariepinus* and *O. niloticus* were no significant variation ($P>0.05$) in H' and N among all the sampling sites in both seasons. The number of fish species was 553 (dry season) and 304 (wet seasons). Shannon diversity index (H') value was generally higher in wet season than dry season in all the sampling sites (Table 3). Generally, the Shannon diversity index indicates that there was species segregation among the sampling sites and seasons, indicating all the eight fishes species are adapted to live in all the sampling sites.

Aquaponics

Table 3. Shannon diversity index (H') and number of fish species (N) in wet and dry season.

Season	H'/N	Sampling Sites		
		Sefiana	Abenaze	Wotetomider
Dry	H'	1.44	1.33	1.23
	N	8	6	4
Wet	H'	1.58	1.60	1.64
	N	7	7	6

During the study period, 2,320 kg and 1280 kg total biomass of specimens were collected during dry and wet seasons, respectively (data not shown). Dry season showed higher values than wet season in terms of weight (kg) and number of specimens of fishes. In all sampling sites, the number of fishes was high during the dry season than wet (Table 4). The reason for such variations could be probably due to the high turbidity of the river water, velocity of the water and low temperature during wet season may have attributed to the less number of fish caught in that season. During wet season, there was also higher water discharge; fishes could have highly dispersed in the large volume of water in this season as compared to the dry season and it became difficult to catch them. In addition, the variation in catches between wet and dry seasons might be due to the variation in gillnet efficiency and time of setting of gillnet. Wood logs, leaves, roots and grasses which were brought by flooding, could have decreased the efficiency of gillnets during the wet season.

Relative abundance of fish during wet and dry seasons

Labeobarbus intermedius, *L. forskalii*, *M. kannume* and *L. nedgia* were showed very highly and highly significant variation in number of catches between dry and wet seasons ($P < 0.01$) and ($P < 0.05$), respectively (Table 4). In this study, *L. intermedius* was the most abundant species, constituting 39.67% in the total number of catch. *Labeo forskalii*, *L. nedgia*, *M. kannume*, *B. docmak*, and *L. crassibarbis*, were found in relative abundance of 27.77%, 9.57%, 11.67%, 5.13%, and 3.50%, respectively (Table 4).

Aquaponics

Table 4. Total catches of fishes in dry and wet seasons (t-test).

Fish species	Seasons		Total	Percentage composition	P-value
	Wet	Dry			
<i>L. intermedius</i>	97	243	340	39.67	0.000***
<i>L. forskalii</i>	59	179	238	27.77	0.000***
<i>L. nedgia</i>	30	52	82	9.57	0.015*
<i>L. crassibarbis</i>	16	14	30	3.50	0.715 ^{ns}
<i>M. kannume</i>	69	31	100	11.67	0.00**
<i>B. docmak</i>	27	17	44	5.13	0.132 ^{ns}
<i>C. gariepinus</i>	6	11	17	1.98	0.225 ^{ns}
<i>O. niloticus</i>	0	6	6	0.70	-

Note *($P < 0.05$) (significant), ** ($P < 0.01$) (highly significant), *** ($P < 0.001$) (very highly significant), and ns ($P > 0.05$) (non-significant)

The species composition of all catches both in dry and wet seasons ranked based on the IRI value for different sampling site (Tables 5 and 6). *Labeobarbus intermedius* was the most important fish species in dry season at Sefiana, Abenaze and Wotetomider with IRI values of 58.82%, 54.54%, and 48.05%, respectively but *L. forskalii* was the most important fish species in dry seasons at Abenaze and Wotetomider and wet seasons at Sefiana with IRI values of 37.27%, 22.41% and 27.37%, respectively (Table 5 and 6). *L. intermedius*, *L. forskalii* and *L. nedgia* were the most important fish species in dry season for sites Sefiana and Wotetomider with IRI values of 58.82%, 24.56%, 7.21%, and 48.05, 22.41%, 22.23%, respectively. The %IRI value of *L. intermedius* was higher in dry season than wet season at all sampling sites (Table 5).

There might be several reasons for variation in abundance between wet and dry seasons. Variation in available nutrients and habitats, temperature, fishing effort, fish behavior, size and life history stages of fishes and others might have contributed to the variation in abundance of the catches. Moreover, water level (Karengue and Kolding, 1995) and turbidity of water may also affect abundance.

Generally in this study, fish species composition (8 species) of the Blue Nile River after the Tiss Issat fall (which was low (Table 7) as compared to results reported by other workers in the upper Blue Nile, Lake Tana and Tekeze drainage basins. Oumer *et al.* (2011) reported 17 species from head of Blue Nile River (Lake Tana to Tiss Isat Fall), Tesfaye (2006) identified 10 species from

Aquaponics

Sanja and Angereb rivers, Beletew (2007) reported 17 species from Beshilo, Dura and Ardi rivers, Berie (2007) 23 species from Beles and Gelegel Beles, Tewabe (2008) 27 species in Guang, Ayima, Gendwuha and Shinfa rivers, Melak (2009) 59 species from Baro and Tekeze Basins. The low species diversity may be related to the flow variability which has an effect on fish assemblage and productivity of the river. The presence of few fish species and dominance of few family in this study seemed that these cyprinid fishes, being riverine origin, are specifically segregated or adapted in the Blue Nile and its tributaries.

Table 5. Percentage of Index of Relative Importance (IRI) of fishes in all sampling sites during dry season.

Sites	Fish	N	%N	W	%W	F	%F	IRI	%IRI
Sefiana	<i>L. intermedius</i>	113	41.9	56184	48.2	7	21.21	1915	58.82
	<i>L. forskalii</i>	95	35.2	35714	30.78	4	12.12	799.5	24.56
	<i>L. nedgia</i>	19	7.04	6818	5.88	6	18.18	234.8	7.21
	<i>L. crassibarbis</i>	4	1.48	5438	4.69	2	6.06	37.38	1.55
	<i>M. kannume</i>	18	6.67	4281	3.69	4	12.12	125.5	3.86
	<i>B. docmak</i>	9	3.33	4074	2.04	3	9.09	62.22	1.91
	<i>C. gariepinus</i>	6	2.22	2364	1.01	4	12.12	51.63	1.59
	<i>O. niloticus</i>	6	2.22	1171	1.00	3	9.09	29.38	0.90
Total	270	100	116044	51.43	-	-	32.55	-	
Abenaze	<i>L. intermedius</i>	74	45.1	31355	31.84	6	31.6	3049	54.54
	<i>L. forskalii</i>	56	34.15	19411	26.7	4	21.12	2084	37.27
	<i>L. nedgia</i>	8	4.88	1626	4.08	2	10.5	79.42	1.42
	<i>M. kannume</i>	13	7.93	2486	6.10	3	15.8	189.5	3.39
	<i>B. docmak</i>	18	4.88	3716	3.89	2	10.5	115.5	2.07
	<i>C. gariepinus</i>	5	3.05	2369	1.00	2	10.5	73	1.31
	Total	164	100	60963	48	-	-	5590	-
Wotetomider	<i>L. intermedius</i>	56	47.1	26447	15	6	26.09	2483.5	48.05
	<i>L.forskalii</i>	28	23.5	7978	17	7	30.43	1158.12	22.41
	<i>L. nedgia</i>	25	21	9204	21	7	30.43	1149.32	22.23
	<i>L. crassibarbis</i>	10	8.4	11304	5.01	3	13.04	378.02	7.31
	Total	119	100	54933	100	-	-	5169.01	-

Aquaponics

(NB: %Wi and %Ni = percentages in weight and number of each species of total catch. %Fi = percentage frequency occurrence of each species in total number of settings. %Wj and Nj = percentages in weight and number of total species in total catch. Fj = percentage frequency of occurrence of total species in total number of settings).

Table 6. Percentage of Index of Relative Importance (IRI) of fishes in all sampling sites during wet season.

Sites	Fish	N	%N	W	%W	F	%F	IRI	%IRI
Sefiana	<i>L.intermedius</i>	32	29.09	12702	29.74	5	18.52	1089.94	30.55
	<i>L.forskali</i>	20	18.18	8314	19.74	7	25.93	976.06	27.37
	<i>L. nedgia</i>	3	2.73	968	2.27	2	7.41	36.99	1.04
	<i>L. crassibarbis</i>	6	5.43	6827	15.98	2	7.41	158.80	4.45
	<i>M. kannume</i>	38	34.45	9237	21.63	5	18.52	1040.22	29.17
	<i>B. docmak</i>	8	7.27	3210	7.52	4	14.81	219.08	6.14
	<i>C. gariepinus</i>	3	2.73	1454	3.40	2	7.41	45.42	1.27
	Total	110	100	42712	100	-	-	3565.98	-
Abenaze	<i>L. intermedius</i>	43	36.75	18361	34.77	6	22.22	1589.29	42.17
	<i>L. forskalii</i>	24	20.51	9794	18.54	7	25.93	1012.60	26.87
	<i>L. nedgia</i>	5	4.27	4666	8.83	1	3.70	48.55	1.29
	<i>L. crassibarbis</i>	4	3.42	6257	11.85	2	7.41	113.08	3.00
	<i>M. kannume</i>	27	23.08	7048.6	14.78	5	18.52	701.03	18.60
	<i>B. docmak</i>	11	9.40	4488	8.50	4	14.81	265.18	7.04
	<i>C. gariepinus</i>	3	2.56	1442	2.73	2	7.41	39.22	1.04
	Total	117	100	52813	100	-	-	3768.95	-
Wotomider	<i>L. intermedius</i>	22	28.57	8606	27.75	7	31.82	1728.44	43.58
	<i>L.forskali</i>	15	19.48	5401	16.16	4	18.18	648.03	16.34
	<i>L. nedgia</i>	22	28.57	8598	25.73	4	18.18	987.25	24.89
	<i>L. crassibarbis</i>	6	7.79	6490	19.42	2	9.09	247.34	6.24
	<i>M. kannume</i>	4	5.19	755	2.26	2	9.09	67.76	1.71
	<i>B. docmak</i>	8	10.39	3570	10.86	3	13.64	287.34	7.24
	Total	77	100	33420	100	-	-	3966.20	-

Aquaponics

(NB: %Wi and %Ni = percentages in weight and number of each species of total catch. %Fi = percentage frequency occurrence of each species in total number of settings. %Wj and Nj = percentages in weight and number of total species in total catch. Fj = percentage frequency of occurrence of total species in total number of settings.)

Flow variability might also have an effect on fish assemblages, for example, high flows could destroy fish habitat and wash away the already laid fish eggs. The fish species compositions after the Tisisat fall of Blue Nile River were different from above the fall and Lake Tana as compared with Oumer *et al.* (2011) and (Nagekerke, 1997) reports, respectively. This might be due to the isolation of the lake's ichthyofauna from the lower Nile basin (de Graaf, 2003) and special adaption of the riverine cyprinids. In this study, *L. forskalii* was identified which was not recorded from de Graaf (2003) in Lake Tana and Oumer *et al.* (2011) in head of Blue Nile River. The dominant family of cyprinids especially *L. intermedius* and *L. nedgia* were common in most of the Ethiopian inland water bodies previously reported in Lake Tana (de Graaf, 2003), Tewabe *et al.* (2009), Anteneh (2005), Oumer *et al.* (2011), Gebremedhin *et al.* (2012), and recently Mequaninnet (2012) in the same river basin the presence of this species. So like *L. intermedius* and *L. nedgia* is found in most of the river systems in Ethiopia. The preliminary survey done by Golubstov and Mina (2003), about 4-5 km downstream from Tisisat falls recorded the four typical Nilotic species: *Morymurs hasslequistii*, *L. forskalii*, *Raiamas senegalensis* and *Bagrus docmak*. Except *L. forskalii* and *B. docmak* none of them was found. As mentioned above, this may be due to some kind of ecological niching of the existing fishes in the same river system. Differences in sampling habitats (river width, substrate type, source distance and depth), fishing effort, type of gear and gillnet efficiency, sampling seasons and altitude might have contributed to the variation in the catch rates and species diversity.

Aquaponics

Table 7. Comparison of fishes species composition at Lake Tana source of Blue Nile River (BNR) , BNR before the Fall and BNR after the Fall (+ = present and - = absent).

Species	Lake Tana BNR before the fall (Nagelkererke, 1997)	Tana BNR before the fall (Mohammed Oumer <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	BNR after the fall (This study, 2011)
<i>L. intermedius</i>	+	+	+
<i>L. nedgia</i>	+	+	+
<i>L. crassibarbis</i>	+	+	+
<i>L. surkis</i>	+	+	-
<i>L. longissimus</i>	+	+	-
<i>L. platydorsus</i>	+	+	-
<i>L. gorgorensis</i>	+	+	-
<i>L. brevicephales</i>	+	+	-
<i>L. tsanansis</i>	+	+	-
<i>L. acutirostris</i>	+	+	-
<i>L. megastoma</i>	+	+	-
<i>L. gorguri</i>	+	+	-
<i>L. daineillii</i>	+	+	-
<i>L. macroptalmus</i>	+	-	-
<i>L. triuttiformis</i>	+	-	-
<i>G. dembecha</i>	+	+	-
<i>V. beso</i>	+	+	-
<i>C. gariepinus</i>	+	+	-
<i>O. niloticus</i>	+	+	-
Small <i>barbus</i>	+	-	-
<i>B. docmak</i>	-	-	+
<i>L. forskalii</i>	-	-	+
<i>M. kannume</i>	-	-	+

Aquaponics

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Bahir Dar University for providing the budget logistic and fishing gears support. Special appreciation goes to Bahir Dar Fisheries and other Aquatic Life Research Center staff members for their great help during sampling.

References

- Anteneh, W. (2005). The spawning migration of *Labeobarbus* (Cyprinidae: Teleostei) of Lake Tana to Dirma and Megech rivers, Ethiopia. M.Sc Thesis, Department of Biology, Addis Ababa University.
- BCEOM (1999). Abay river basin integrated development master plan project: Phase 2 data collection-site investigation survey and analysis. Bahir Dar, Ethiopia.
- Begon, M., J.L., Harper and Townsed, C.R. (1990). **Ecology: Individuals, population and communities**. 2nd ed. Blackwell Scientific Publication, 945 pp.
- Beletew, M. (2007). Diversity, relative abundance and biology of fishes in some rivers, and cestode parasites of African catfish (*C. gariepinus*) in some lakes of Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia.
- Berie, Z. (2007). Diversity, relative abundance and biology of fishes in Beles and Gelgel Beles rivers, Abay basin, Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia.
- De Graaf, M. (2003). Lake Tana's piscivorous *Barbus* (Cyprinidae, Ethiopia): Ecology, evolution and exploitation. Ph.D. Thesis, Wageningen University, Wageningen. The Netherlands.
- Dile, Y. (2009). Hydrological modeling to assess climate change impact at Gilgel Abay River, Lake Tana Basin, Ethiopia.
- Gebremedhin, S., Mingist, M., Getahun, A. and Anteneh, W. (2012). Spawning migration of *Labeobarbus* spp. (Pisces: Cyprinidae) of Lake Tana to Arno-Garno River, Lake Tana sub-basin Ethiopia. *SINET: Ethiop. J. Sci.* **35**(2):95–106.

Aquaponics

- Getahun, A. (2005a). Freshwater eco-regions of Ethiopia. In: **Freshwater eco-region of Africa: A conservation assessment**, (Theime *et al.*, eds.). Island Press, Washington D.C., USA.
- Getahun, A. (2005b). An overview of the diversity and conservation status of Ethiopian freshwater fish fauna. In: **Proceedings of the Pan African fish and fisheries society**. Cotonou, Benin.
- Golubstov, A.S. and Mina, M.V. (2003). Fish species diversity in the main drainage system of Ethiopia: Current status of knowledge and research perspectives. *Ethiop. J. Nat. Res.* **5**(2):281-318.
- Karengé, L. and Kolding, J. (1995). Inshore fish population and species changes in Lake Kariba, Zimbabwe. In: **The impact of species changes in African lakes**, pp. 245–275 (Pitcher, T., Hart, P.J.B., eds.). Fish and Fisheries Series, vol. 18. Chapman and Hall, London,
- Kolding, J. (1989). The fish resource of Lake Turkana and their environment. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bergen, Norway, 262 pp.
- Melak, T. (2009). Diversity, relative abundance and some biology of fish in Baro and Tekeze basins. M.Sc. Thesis, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia.
- Mequaninet, D. (2012). Spawning migration of *Labeobarbus* species of Lake Tana to Gilgel Abay River and its tributaries, Blue Nile Basin, Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis, Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia.
- MoWR (Ministry of Water Resources) (1998). Tekeze basin integrated master plan project. Sectoral report Vo1-NR6 Fisheries.
- MoWR (2010). Assessment of Abay river basin master plans project and programs hydrometeorology. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Naesje, T.F., Hay, C.J.N., Nickansor, J.H., Koekemoer, R.S. and Thorstad, E.B. (2004). Fish population, gillnet catch and gillnet selectivity in the Kwando River. Namibia. Norwegian Institute for Nature Research. *Tungasletta* 2. No. 7485.
- Nagelkerke, L.A.J. (1997). The Barbus of Lake Tana, Ethiopia: Morphological diversity and its implication for taxonomy, trophic resource partitioning and

Aquaponics

- fisheries. Ph.D. Thesis, Agricultural University, Wageningen, the Netherlands.
- Oumer, M., Mingist, M. and Dejen, E. (2011). Diversity and relative abundance of fishes in the head of Blue Nile River, Ethiopia. *Ethiop. J. Biol. Sci.* **10**(2):207-212.
- Sanyanga, R.A. (1996). Variation in abundance of *Synodontis zambezensis* (Pisces: Mockokidae) Peters 1852, in the shore fishery of Lake Kariba. *Fish. Res.* **26**:171-186.
- Tesfaye, G. (2006). Diversity, relative abundance and biology of fishes in Angereb and Sanja rivers, Tekeze basin, Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia, 89 pp.
- Tewabe, D. (2008). Diversity, relative abundance and biology of fishes in Gendewuha, Guang, Shinfu and Ayima rivers, Tekeze and Abay basins, Ethiopia. M.Sc. Thesis, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia. 108 pp.
- Tewabe, D., Getahun, A. and Dejen, E. (2009). Species composition and relative abundance of major rivers in Amahara Region, Abay and Tekeze basins. In: Proceedings of the First Annual Conference of the Ethiopian Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences Association (EFASA), Ziway Fisheries Resource Research Center, Ziway, Ethiopia.
- Wood, R. and Talling, J. (1988). Chemical and algal relationship in salinity series of Ethiopian waters. *Hydrobiologia* **158**:29-67.